## RETURNS SEALED VERDICT Bookkeeping appeared to be the principal work of a jury which sat in

the case of the Ashland Steel Range

THIS JURY TOOK NOTES:

company against Peter Handlovsky, tried in the superior court yesterday. After deliberating some time with pencil and paper in their hands the jurors returned a sealed verdict last evening. The sutt had its origin in the sale of a lot of stoves by the plaintiff to Handlovsky a year ago.

The stove concern sought to recover

Handlovsky a year ago.
The stove concern sought to recover \$87. Handlovsky denied the account because he had returned part of the shipment of stoves to the plaint: The stove concern contended that the Jefendant should have kept the stoves and paid for them.